	Case 2:05-cv-00664-RRB-JFM Document 17 Filed 08/16/05 Page 1 of 1		
1			
1 2			
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
5			
6			
7	JOSHUA LARK,	NO. CIV S-05-0664 DFL GGH P	
8	Petitioner,	ORDER	
9	v.		
10	TOM CAREY, Warden,		
11	Respondents.		
12			
13	On August 9, 2005, Respondents filed a request fo	On August 9, 2005, Respondents filed a request for an extension of time to file an	
14	opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment.		
15	Good cause appearing, Respondents' request is granted. If Respondents' pending		
16	motion to dismiss is granted, the motion for summary judgment will be moot, and, therefore,		
17	Respondents will not need to file an opposition.		
18	If the Court ultimately denies Respondents' motion to dismiss, the Court's will indicate		
19	whether Respondents should file only an Answer to the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, or		
20	whether they should also file an opposition to the motion for summary judgment. Respondents		
21	will be given 30 days from that Order to file the appropriate response.		
22			
23	DATED: 8/16/05 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows		
24	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
25	lark0664.po.wpd		
26			
27	lark0664.po		
28			
	[Proposed Order]		
	1		